



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_4585
Title of the Manuscript:	THE LIKELIHOOD OF CORPORATE ENTREPRENEURSHIP IN LARGE CORPORATIONS
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The research presented is interesting however the overall presentation of paper is poor and it must be significantly improved in line with the comments below: Heading 1 Introduction: The logic behind building research relevance should be sharpened. For instance, did authors use "levels of cognitive moral development (CMD)? (see page 2, line 76). Clarification is missing regarding innovation concept. Methodology section needs to be strengthened. In particular, steps of research design, approach to data collection and analysis need to be described more consistently and in more details. For instance, what data sources are used? On page 4 in line 152 authors say "other sources of evidence..." Some clarification on this would be of value: How do they review of personal background? What is the total number of interviews? Is triangulation technique of different data sources is used for analysis? It is also important to discuss how authors measure the variables (the items they used to measure). As this is a key premise of your research I would enrich the text citing more authors and measures of conflict of interest, characteristics of the manager, moral consideration and likelihood of corporate entrepreneurship in large corporations. The items can be presented in tabular form. Heading 3 Results is missing the "results" part. The results can be presented in tabular form. The direction of further research should be moved under Heading 4 Conclusions and explained in more detail (see	Thanks for the comments. For improving the logic, additional paragraph (2nd paragraph in the Introduction Section) is added on innovation concept as well as further explanation on cognitive moral development is added (5th paragraph in the Introduction Section). More detailed on the research design have been included with additional paragraphs (6th and 7th paragraph in the Research Design Section) as well as further explanation on other sources of evidence is added (4th paragraph in the Research Design Section). More detailed on the research design have been included with additional paragraphs (6th and 7th paragraph in the Research Design Section) as well as further explanation on other sources of evidence is added (4th paragraph in the Research Design Section). In addition to the explanation of the results in the article, an additional paragraph and Figure 3 (1st paragraph in the Results Section) is



SDI Review Form 1.6

	page 6, lines 223-224 and 236-237). The conclusions should focus on results of the study and should provide answers to the main question, but not repeat the results again. What are the limitations?	added. In addition, the original sentences on the direction of further research are removed. The answers to the main questions are included in the 3rd paragraph in the Conclusions Section. Additional paragraph on limitations has been added (9th paragraph in the Conclusions Section).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Repeat sentences: For instance, page 1-line 22 and line 44, page 6-line. There was not enough bibliography support. I recommend updating the bibliography with references, such as for example, the following: Barba-Sánchez, V. & Atienza-Sahuquillo, C. (2012). Entrepreneurial Behavior: Impact of Motivation Factors on Decision to Create a New Venture, IEDEE, 18(2), 132-138. Fitzsimmons, J.R. & Douglas, E.J. (2011). Interaction between feasibility and desirability in the formation of entrepreneurial intentions. Journal of Business Venturing, 26(4), 431-440. George, B.A. (2011). Entrepreneurial Orientation: A Theoretical and Empirical Examination of the Consequences of Differing Construct Representations. Journal of Management Studies, 48(6), 1291-1313.	1st sentence in the Abstract has been revised. The study has included more bibliography supports but this paper is a summary of the study for the publication purposes. As such, the minor bibliography has not been included in this paper. Thanks for the suggested reference and it will be used for further research as recommended.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The topic of the research paper is interesting. The paper is generally structured well with intro, methodology, results, and conclusion sections. However, the paper at its current state lacks of logical argumentation and in-depth analysis what is crucial for the scientific publication. Given the fact that research topic is relevant, the suggestion is to re-write and re-submit the paper for re-reviewing.	Thanks for the comments. The paper has been revised based on the comments received and will be re-submitted.